## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

## RELATED CASE ORDER

A Motion for Administrative Relief to Consider Whether Cases Should be Related or a *Sua Sponte* Judicial Referral for Purpose of Determining Relationship (Civil L.R. 3-12) has been filed. The time for filing an opposition or statement of support has passed. As the judge assigned to the earliest filed case below that bears my initials, I find that the more recently filed case(s) that I have initialed below are related to the case assigned to me, and such case(s) shall be reassigned to me. Any cases listed below that are not related to the case assigned to me are referred to the judge assigned to the next-earliest filed case for a related case determination.

Dunaway et al. v. City and County of San Francisco

C 08-01715 PIH

C 00-01/13 1 J11	Dunaway et at v. City and County of San Francisco
<u>C 08-04087 MMC</u>	Western Regional Advocacy Project et al v. Newsom et al
I find	that the above case is related to the case assigned to me
	ORDER
of the newly assigned conference in any reas dates for the conference Unless otherwise orde noticed by the moving Local Rules remain in	structed that all future filings in any reassigned case are to bear the initials judge immediately after the case number. Any case management signed case will be rescheduled by the Court. The parties shall adjust the ce, disclosures and report required by FRCivP 16 and 26 accordingly. red, any dates for hearing noticed motions are vacated and must be reparty before the newly assigned judge; any deadlines set by the ADR effect; and any deadlines established in a case management order continues for appearance in court, which will be rescheduled by the newly assigned
Dated:	
	Judge Phyllis J. Hamilton

## **CLERK'S NOTICE**

The court has reviewed the motion and determined that no cases are related and no reassignments shall occur.

Richard W. Wieking, Clerk

DATED: September 22, 2008

**Deputy Clerk**